

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/621,857	9/621,857 07/24/2000		Steven D. Clark	4547-8	5123	
7:	590	05/06/2002				
Douglas W. M			EXAMINER			
Massinger Law 113 North Oliv	e Street			REDDICK, MARIE L		
Media, PA 19	063			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1713	. 	
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
	<u> </u>	09/621,857		CLARK ET AL.		
í	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Judy M. Re		1713		
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
•	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut vill apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to eation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 20	<u>02</u> .			
		is action is i	non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under a cosition of Claims	ance except Ex parte Qu	for formal matters, prayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is	
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		sideration.			
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
i	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	r) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.			
App	lication Papers					
	n)	r.				
1))□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)	objected to by the Exar	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
1) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)	proved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Off	ice action.			
1:	\mathbb{P}) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Pric	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1	s)	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have beer	received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have beer	received in Application	on No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT I	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14					l application).	
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
	hment(s)	io priority di	33 120	with of the fi		
1) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 09/621,857

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) The recited "urethane-based thickener" per claim 1 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per the metes and bounds of such engender an indeterminacy in scope.
- B) The recited "sulfonate-containing mixture" per claims 1 and 4 constitute indefinite subject matter as per the metes and bounds of such engender an indeterminacy in scope.
- C) The recited "overbased" per claims 4 and 6 constitute indefinite subject matter as per said term engendering an inconsistency with art-recognized terminology.
- D) The recited "linear alcohol/hydrocarbon mixtures" per claim 5 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to whether "linear alcohol mixtures", "hydrocarbon mixtures" or "mixtures of a linear alcohol and a hydrocarbon" are intended.
- E) The recited "wherein said alkaline earth metal sulfonate is calcium overbased sulfonate structurally modified to contain crystalline calcium carbonate" per claim 6 engenders ambiguous and confusing claim language. Does applicant intend a mixture of calcium sulfonate + calcium carbonate or else?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/621,857

Art Unit: 1713

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific urethanes as housed in Table 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for compounds falling within the broad scope of the claimed "urethane based thickener". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-10 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record as per reasons given in the previous Office Action(paper no. 4, 09/20/01). The claims would be allowed if rewritten, amended and/or satisfactorily rebutted so as to obviate the 112 issues raised supra.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 02/20/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

While Counsel, in a good faith effort, attempted to remedy the 112, 2nd paragraph issues, some remain and new 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph issues were discovered and/or created and are as set forth supra.

US 0962185708P1



Creation date: 03-01-2004

Indexing Officer: DDERBY - DAVID DERBY

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09621857

Legal Date: 11-06-2002

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	LET.	4
2	A	1
3	CLM	2
4	REM	7
5	XT/	4

Total number of pages: 18	
Remarks:	

Order of re-scan issued on